

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15746

Title: METABOLIC SURGERY AND INTESTINAL GENE EXPRESSION: DIGESTIVE TRACT AND DIABETES EVOLUTION. CONSIDERATIONS

Reviewer's code: 00645412

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2014-12-08 12:19

Date reviewed: 2014-12-19 21:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A manuscript looking at metabolic markers in blood and mucosa before and after bariatric surgery. Just a few minor comments. -The size of the cohort is actually too small to draw conclusions, but I appreciate that there might not be that many patients undergoing this kind of surgery. -Please discuss your findings (blood and mucosa) in comparison to other bariatric operations (i.e. only gastric sleeve, no transit bipartition) and EndoBarrier technique. How much of your findings are really due to your particular surgical technique and how much is due to the weight loss which can also be achieved by other means. - Please could you add a better explanation of the surgical technique (e.g. by adding an illustration/drawing of the gut post surgery) - if the authors wish to mention the sedation used, please give the drug doses in mg, not in ml, as concentrations might vary. - p9, line 257, why is TGFbeta spelled differently here? - have the actual blood concentrations of insulin and C-peptide been measured in the study? If so, please add them. - overall, the English is not the best. Please get a native speaker to proofread it.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15746

Title: METABOLIC SURGERY AND INTESTINAL GENE EXPRESSION: DIGESTIVE TRACT AND DIABETES EVOLUTION. CONSIDERATIONS

Reviewer's code: 02904750

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2014-12-08 12:19

Date reviewed: 2015-01-09 09:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Because the data is from the same patient of different times, So the Data belong to the repeated measurement data. Analysis method should be used repeated measurements ANOVA instead of one way ANOVA.