

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16326

Title: PCC2 in Crohn's Disease: a new concept of pan-enteric mucosal healing assessment

Reviewer's code: 00181021

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-01-11 13:49

Date reviewed: 2015-01-31 17:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present an interesting case series of patients with CD assessed by capsule endoscopy. The manuscript is very long. I would suggest to limit especially the introduction and focus within the methods section. The inclusion and exclusion criteria should be clearly presented in a table. A statement about complications related to the capsule endoscopy should be added.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16326

Title: PCC2 in Crohn's Disease: a new concept of pan-enteric mucosal healing assessment

Reviewer's code: 00181101

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-01-11 13:49

Date reviewed: 2015-02-06 15:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

this is an interesting study reporting on a new technique of bowel assessment in CD patients (PCC2)

Major comments: number of patients is limited, no formal statistics can be made and authors should clarify potential design and endpoints of future studies to include adequate number of patients. Authors should comment on costs and large-scale affordability of the new technique. Moreover, how to select patients for whom PCC2 is indicated (patient compliance, risk of perforation?)

page 13, line 6, please change "...that hadn't yet been previously investigated..." with "...that has not previously been investigated"

page 15, line 6, sentence "..., as this novel concept makes its stride in the investigation this pan-enteric disease..." is not clear, please rephrase

page 15, line 20 "...These patients referred no obstructive symptoms...", maybe 'reported'?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16326

Title: PCC2 in Crohn's Disease: a new concept of pan-enteric mucosal healing assessment

Reviewer's code: 02521203

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-01-11 13:49

Date reviewed: 2015-01-17 03:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study performed by Carvalho et al investigating the use of colon capsule in monitoring mucosal healing. The manuscript is well written, and carefully designed. The procedures are described in great details in the material and methods section. Specific comments 1. Page 10, which statistical test was used? Although there is a section on the statistical analysis, it's not clear which groups were compared. 2. Page 12, first sentence, "Mean total LS was 1551 (± 1999 ; 0-5392)", It's not clear what "mean total" is, please clarify.