

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15899

Title: Risk Factors of Organ Failure in Cholangitis with Bacteriobilia

Reviewer's code: 02861012

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-12-16 09:13

Date reviewed: 2014-12-22 19:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present the risk factors for organ failure in acute cholangitis with bacteriobilia and propose a prognostic scoring system that could be used to predict organ failure. The study is clear and well-written, however, the patient sample number is too low to draw such conclusions. Although there are statistically significant differences in the proposed risk factors between patients with organ failure and without, the actual number of patients that these differences are seen is very low. The authors need to increase the number of their cohort for sufficient and convincing conclusions to be drawn.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15899

Title: Risk Factors of Organ Failure in Cholangitis with Bacteriobilia

Reviewer's code: 00068273

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-12-16 09:13

Date reviewed: 2015-01-30 20:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

his is a very interesting paper on a field of gastrointestinal diseases nowadays much more important in respect to yesterday. The Authors give interesting results, the english is good, statistical analysis is adequate and the results are well expressed and interesting. No more details for publicability of the paper.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15899

Title: Risk Factors of Organ Failure in Cholangitis with Bacteriobilia

Reviewer's code: 00068404

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-12-16 09:13

Date reviewed: 2015-02-01 17:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this article, the authors found that a scoring system for predicting organ failure was highly specific and positive predictive in Cholangitis with Bacteriobilia. This is a well-written paper containing interesting results which merit publication. I suggest this paper should be accepted.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15899

Title: Risk Factors of Organ Failure in Cholangitis with Bacteriobilia

Reviewer's code: 00068107

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-12-16 09:13

Date reviewed: 2015-01-29 19:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The title is accurately reflects the major topic and contents of the study.
2. The abstract is well organized, providing a clear delineation of the research background, objectives, materials and methods, results and conclusions.
3. This study included 182 patients with acute cholangitis who underwent PTBD, then the bile samples was collected by PTBD, which is less prone to contamination by intestinal bacteria than ERCP.
4. The study design is rational and reliable.
5. The conclusion has good diagnostic value and clinical significance, because the organ failure scoring system introduced by the authors of this paper may aid clinicians to indentify a poor-prognosis group.
6. The figures can reflect the major findings of the study.
7. The references are updated.