

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18117

**Title:** Overexpression of Chaperonin Containing TCP1, Subunit 3 Predicts Poor Prognosis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma

**Reviewer's code:** 02992560

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-08 08:29

**Date reviewed:** 2015-04-23 14:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an very interesting manuscript. Some minor revisions of the language are needed.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18117

**Title:** Overexpression of Chaperonin Containing TCP1, Subunit 3 Predicts Poor Prognosis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma

**Reviewer's code:** 00069797

**Reviewer's country:** Iran

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-08 08:29

**Date reviewed:** 2015-04-20 17:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting manuscript about the overexpression of Chaperonin Containing TCP1, Subunit 3 in hepatocellular carcinoma. In this manuscript, the authors assessed the value of Chaperonin Containing TCP1, Subunit 3 in predicting prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma patients and explore mechanisms for its function in hepatocellular carcinoma progression. The study is well designed, and the results are interesting. The authors found that the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 activation was decreased even under stimulating by interleukin6 after knocking down Chaperonin Containing TCP1, Subunit 3 in HepG2 cell line, and concluded that the overexpression of Chaperonin Containing TCP1, Subunit 3 in nuclei of cancerous cells is associated with hepatocellular carcinoma progression. Chaperonin Containing TCP1, Subunit 3 could be an target for affecting activation of STAT3 in hepatocellular carcinoma. 1 Over all, the manuscript is well written, but some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2 Tables and figures are good. But the figure 2 is very small and not clear. Please check it. 3 References are updated, good. 4 Some abbreviations in the text should be explained.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18117

**Title:** Overexpression of Chaperonin Containing TCP1, Subunit 3 Predicts Poor Prognosis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma

**Reviewer's code:** 03015689

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-08 08:29

**Date reviewed:** 2015-04-23 06:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although I appreciate the meticulous attention paid to molecular and cellular management (and the great work it entails) I would like to encourage you to review the following aspects: -The control sample should be larger -Important prognostic variables as BCLC stage, Type of treatment and others are missing -The line between methods y results is not clear and they often are mixed Once more I would like to congratulate you for your great work un a challenging subject of research.