

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16990

**Title:** A newly designed detachable “pieced” stent for the treatment of benign esophageal strictures: results in an animal model

**Reviewer’s code:** 02664735

**Reviewer’s country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-02-06 18:48

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-12 03:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This experimental protocol investigated a newly designed stent for benign esophageal strictures in a rabbit esophageal stricture model. Abstract 1. “The stent was removed after 4 weeks” This information is repeated below. 2. The flow of the abstract would improve if the authors described first the development of the stricture model and then stent placement. 3. Please revise the conclusive paragraph to something like: “In this experimental protocol of benign esophageal strictures the novel “pieced” stent demonstrated superior removal rate with similar migration rate compared to a conventional stent”, or similar Introduction 1. Please also comment on biodegradable stents either in the introduction or in the discussion section. (Saito Y, et al. Usefulness of biodegradable stents constructed of poly-L-lactic acid monofilaments in patients with benign esophageal stenosis. 2007. World J Gastroenterol. Stivaros SM, et al. Woven polydioxanone biodegradable stents: a new treatment option for benign and malignant oesophageal strictures. 2010 Eur Radiol. Materials and Methods 1. As the authors state that they investigated safety as well as removal, it is necessary to define a clear safety outcome (eg. Stent-related complications during insertion/removal) and



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

compare it between the two groups. 2. Although the authors report that the stents investigated were fully covered, this is not evident in the images provided. 3. Please report the success rate of stricture development in your model and describe in detail how many animals were needed as to finally include 30 animals. Results 1. Please see previous comment and report results accordingly. 2. Also report that all stents were patent at the end of follow up period Discussion 1. The Niti-S double-layered Covered Nitinol Stent has also demonstrated excellent results with very low migration rates. Please comment. 2. Is it possible to manufacture such stents for human use? Do the authors plan to further investigate this stent in clinical trials? 3. A limitations paragraph is required. Tables Add safety outcome or complications in the table Figures 1. See previous comment on covered stents. 2. Explain the numbers in fig 3 References ok