

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16891

**Title:** Clinical characteristics of Helicobacter pylori-negative drug-negative peptic ulcer bleeding

**Reviewer's code:** 03031150

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-02-02 11:13

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-07 23:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very good job. This is an emerging problem that affects a growing number of people. I don't understand why you have excluded from the study some kind of patients. For example patients order that 85 years old or with co-morbidities.

The study is well written and organized. The issue is very interesting. However they have don't included in the study some kind of patients leading to Selection BIAS and consequently this is a specific drawback.