

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 17387

**Title:** Inflammatory Pouch Disease: The Spectrum of Pouchitis

**Reviewer's code:** 03252259

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-05 13:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-25 23:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Drs. Zezos and Saibil have written a very comprehensive review describing the spectrum of pouchitis. The review is well written, organized and thorough and will be a nice addition to the literature covering pouchitis. I have a few minor comments for the authors to consider. 1. Page 8, Candidal pouchitis. The authors state that clotrimazole has been shown to benefit patients with refractory pouchitis who failed standard antibiotic therapy. I believe this might be overstated and am not aware of a completed clinical trial of this treatment. May soften the language and would also add a reference to the Shen and Navaneethan review from 2010 for this paragraph. 2. Page 8, CMV pouchitis. Would omit the sentence "management should reflect current practice". The discussion of management should be reserved for the management section. 3. Page 10, last sentence in section on autoimmune pouchitis: Would eliminate last sentence: "Management includes immunosuppressive therapies..."). Reserve this for management section. 4. I would omit the section on Collagenous pouchitis. This is an isolated case report involving a patient who had collagenous colitis undergoing colectomy. I do not feel this is relevant to the pouch population as collagenous colitis is not an indication for IPAA. 5. Page 13, 5th paragraph: NSAID-induced ileitis, CD ileitis,



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

and immune-related ileitis. Immune is continuous. Please insert word ileitis in this sentence.  
"Immune ileitis is continuous"

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 17387

**Title:** Inflammatory Pouch Disease: The Spectrum of Pouchitis

**Reviewer's code:** 01543993

**Reviewer's country:** South Korea

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-05 13:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-09 20:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[ Y ] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There have been several published papers about the pouchitis-primary or secondary. I recommend the authors to better describe for etiology and pathogenesis of pouchitis. And, if the figures of pathology for specific pathogen(eg. CMV, candida) are added, it will be more helpful to understand the difference of each pouchitis. I think the quality of the pictures of endoscopy is rather poor. Please consider to change the images (Figure 3,4,5) with higher quality.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 17387

**Title:** Inflammatory Pouch Disease: The Spectrum of Pouchitis

**Reviewer's code:** 00043256

**Reviewer's country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-05 13:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-30 05:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a standard review of pouchitis, which discussed its presentation, diagnosis and management. There is little new in this review, but it is generally up-to-date. It is well written, with good grammar. It is easy to read, clear and the reference list is long and up-to-date. The tables and diagrams are fairly clear.