



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13039

**Title:** Technical tips of EUS-guided choledocoduodenostomy

**Reviewer code:** 00041963

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-02 13:21

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-12 04:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors should arrange Table 1 again. In the manuscript they mentioned 10-42 references listed in the table 1 but there is no 32 references in the table. They should remark the number of the references in the table or they can divide the table into two which one content non-complicated and the other which listed complicated studies.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13039

**Title:** Technical tips of EUS-guided choledocoduodenostomy

**Reviewer code:** 00036023

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-02 13:21

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-19 17:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper describes the endoscopic choledochoduodenostomy with EUS guidance. It is clear with good supportive images and reads fairly well. No major issues.



**ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13039

**Title:** Technical tips of EUS-guided choledocoduodenostomy

**Reviewer code:** 02953122

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-02 13:21

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-25 13:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a paper to Technical tips of EUS-guided choledochoduodenostomy. Though it is interesting, there are some issues to be solved. 1. Through the whole, "EBD" should revise "EBS", "PTCD" in "PTBD". 2. In Page 4 line 17-18 There is little number of review about EUS-CDS. You should reconfirm because there is review with 300 number of cases about EUS-CDS in 2013. 3. In Page 9 line 7-9 According to the report of Iwashita T and Tapping CR, technical success /complication for EUS-CDS and PTBD were 94%/19% and 99%/10%. You should change the sentence that EUS-CDS may become the first choice of the biliary tract drainage procedure in the local cases such as poor prognosis, the contraindication of PTBD. And you should add the data of stent patency of EUS-CDS, EBS, and PTBD.