

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10338

**Title:** Relationship among RhoC, VEGF Expression and MVD in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Significance

**Reviewer code:** 02570566

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-27 20:49

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-10 17:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors attempted to investigate the relationship between RhoC, VEGF Expression and MVD in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma and find their Significance. The authors were able to demonstrate the high expression of RhoC protein up-regulates the expression of VEGF, and thereby it may promote tumor angiogenesis. They concluded that the process of RhoC mRNA and protein expression in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma might related with the invasion and metastasis process of cancer. However, there are serious problems with respect to the manuscript. The manuscript contains inappropriate English usage and grammatical errors. Some sentences in the manuscript seem to be illogical. The manuscript is not well written despite the author's important scientific contribution. Furthermore, it contains too many statistical formulae, which are not necessary for explaining the statistical optimization models. For this reason we suggest that the manuscript be rewritten to make it clearer and more concise. A further problem with the manuscript is the absence of a clear explanation to the background of the study. The hypothesis is poorly stated since it doesn't provide a logical explanation of the important link between the currently used methods and the proposed new methods. The author should clearly state the purpose of the study along with a strong conclusion.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10338

**Title:** Relationship among RhoC, VEGF Expression and MVD in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Significance

**Reviewer code:** 01209107

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-27 20:49

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-12 11:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-presented article on relationship among the expression of RhoC, VEGF and MVD of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, which has not been reported domestically and abroad. The study is innovative, the results are convincing, the findings are presented clearly. Despite the following aspects to improve, I recommend this paper be published. 1. English grammar, spelling, and sentence structure should be modified, so that the results are more clear to readers e.g. Microvascular density (MVD) 2. Explanation of VEGF and MVD among different differentiation degree could be more elucidated.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10338

**Title:** Relationship among RhoC, VEGF Expression and MVD in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Significance

**Reviewer code:** 02440386

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-27 20:49

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-05 02:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

We suggest the authors should recheck and modify the manuscript more carefully.