

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16413

Title: The spectrum of gastric polyps in Chinese population: a review of 157902 endoscopies over a ten-years period

Reviewer's code: 03024915

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-01-17 13:04

Date reviewed: 2015-03-12 01:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This interesting paper describes the changing prevalence of gastric polyps in China. An obvious limitation is the retrospectivity of the design. As a minor comment: in the result section of the abstract, 2.6% should be written near to 4043 cases in the second line.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16413

Title: The spectrum of gastric polyps in Chinese population: a review of 157902 endoscopies over a ten-years period

Reviewer's code: 03026822

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-01-17 13:04

Date reviewed: 2015-02-24 16:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very good work. My comments are in the attached file.

This is one of the largest series of the last years, taking a clear picture of epidemiology of gastric polyps (GPs) in China and authors should be commended.

A best knowledge of GPs has to start from this kind of studies, that underline the correlation between type of polyps, location, age and associated pathologies. In this setting I think that large scale retrospective studies are of great utility to plane further prospective studies and should be encouraged.

I have only some considerations and questions:

- 1) There are many grammatical mistakes and I think the manuscript needs a major language revision, mainly the discussion section (E.g. : line 147: "an" must be changed with "a"; line 232 "we" with "We", line 271 "conduced" with "conducted", line 274 "not a" must be erased).
- 2) It is very interesting the association found between fundic gland polyps (FGPs) and prolonged PPI use. In the results section authors mention a hypothetical association between reflux esophagitis and

fundic gland polyps. I think it should be stressed in the discussion section as well. Is it the use of PPI that, treating reflux esophagitis, represents a risk factor for FGPs, or FGPs are more frequent in patients with severe reflux esophagitis not responsive to PPI?

3) The male/female ratio of 3.69/1 is quite interesting. Are there any anthropological motivations, e.g. different eating habits in Chinese populations, that could explain this epidemiological finding?

Title accurately reflects the major topic and content of the study

Abstract provides a clear delineation between the research background and results of the review.

Materials and methods are sufficiently described for the results and conclusions that are presented in the preceding sections.

The statistical methods used appropriate

Results provide evidence actually found in literature.

References are appropriate, relevant, and up-to-date.