

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18061

Title: Perforated Appendiceal Diverticulitis Associated with Appendiceal Neurofibroma in Neurofibromatosis Type 1

Reviewer's code: 00505493

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-04 18:33

Date reviewed: 2015-05-04 04:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity to read this paper : first of all i would like to underline it is a well written paper but " What is the message for the reader" ? The association between ANF and appendiceal diverticulitis it seems not of great importance and , in my opinion , the paper it is suitable for publication in a journal dedicated to case report

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18061

Title: Perforated Appendiceal Diverticulitis Associated with Appendiceal Neurofibroma in Neurofibromatosis Type 1

Reviewer's code: 00736670

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-04 18:33

Date reviewed: 2015-04-21 01:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. In the article the reason for hospitalization of patient for 23 days should be emphasized. 2. Introduction and discussion parts must be extended 3. Explanations about incision type for laparotomy and whether drainage tube was placed or not would make article more qualified.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18061

Title: Perforated Appendiceal Diverticulitis Associated with Appendiceal Neurofibroma in Neurofibromatosis Type 1

Reviewer's code: 03002011

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-04 18:33

Date reviewed: 2015-04-21 16:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors describe a case of ruptured appendiceal diverticulitis in a patient with appendiceal neurofibroma and neurofibromatosis type 1 and reviewed the literature regarding appendiceal diverticulitis and ANF. #1 page 4: The conclusion within the abstract section should be less strong ('might be...' instead of 'is a complication...') because there is just one case reported and as discussed later coincidence cannot be excluded. #2 page 5: As these are really rare cases the last sentence of the 'core tip' regarding the clinician's awareness of appendiceal perforation in patients with NF-1 should be deleted from the revised manuscript. #3 page 6: The normal range of CRP in the testing laboratory should be mentioned in the revised manuscript to allow the reader for better estimation of the value 7.26 mg/dL. #4 page 7: As the coincidence of diverticulitis and ANF cannot be excluded the first sentence of the discussion should be revised writing 'suggests' instead of 'shows'. #5 page 8: The last sentence of the discussion does not add any new information and therefore might be deleted from the revised manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18061

Title: Perforated Appendiceal Diverticulitis Associated with Appendiceal Neurofibroma in Neurofibromatosis Type 1

Reviewer's code: 02554620

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-04 18:33

Date reviewed: 2015-04-15 14:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Thank you for giving me a chance to review this study. I really appreciate your efforts to contribute the paper. This case is very interesting and unusual. I inform this paper can passed under my authority. Thanks