

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16454

Title: Giant liposarcoma of the esophagus: A case report

Reviewer's code: 02913875

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-01-19 10:14

Date reviewed: 2015-03-31 07:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Esophageal liposarcoma is rare and this case is interesting for clinical presentation. 1. I don't know the difference between Figure 4B and 4C and I doubt the lesion if it is dedifferentiation. Change or add of figures are necessary (representative figure of well differentiated liposarcoma, including lipoblast and figure of definite dedifferentiation area. 2. Replace lipoblastoma with lipoblast. 3. If possible, I recommend the add of figure for CDK4 or MDM2 immunostaining.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16454

Title: Giant liposarcoma of the esophagus: A case report

Reviewer's code: 03261350

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-01-19 10:14

Date reviewed: 2015-03-22 10:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript describing a giant esophageal well-differentiated liposarcoma is interesting due to rarity of this location. Pathologic diagnosis of this entity is not quite easy. IHC for MDM2 and CDK4 are usually done as surrogate markers of amplification of the 12q if molecular studies are not available. Please provide pictures of H&E and IHC and/or molecular study of MDM2 and CDK4. The dedifferentiated component makes this case more interesting, please show a picture.