



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 17699

**Title:** CT and MRI Features of Lipid-rich Neuroendocrine Tumors of the Pancreas

**Reviewer's code:** 00713469

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-25 18:47

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-26 21:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

**GENERAL COMMENTS** The authors present a paper on CT and MRI features of lipid-rich neuroendocrine tumors of the Pancreas. The paper is well written and sustained by appropriate iconography. Even if the general message is not new, it could be interesting for improving the radiological assessment of these lesions. Unfortunately, the number of patients studied is low and, if possible, the authors should increase the cohort to strenghten their efforts. Although the article is essential in its structure, the purpose is well defined and it transmits properly the message becoming of potential interest for the readers. **SPECIFIC COMMENTS** Title: is appropriate. Abstract: well-defined and it seems to be in accordance with the text. Images: appropriate References: correct



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 17699

**Title:** CT and MRI Features of Lipid-rich Neuroendocrine Tumors of the Pancreas

**Reviewer’s code:** 02348457

**Reviewer’s country:** China

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-25 18:47

**Date reviewed:** 2015-04-01 02:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. In the introduction part, the author describe the the importance for differentiating PanNETs from other pancreatic lesions, however, the importance for differentiating lipid-rich PanNETs from non-lipid rich PanNETs is not mentioned. The significance of this research will be stressed if the author emphasize its importance for differentiating lipid-rich PanNETs from non-lipid rich PanNETs.

2. It is better to replace MRI with chemical shift MRI as key word. 3. In the first paragraph of the discussion part, the author mention that different results are found in tumors with clear cells and ADRP-positive lipid-rich cells. But it makes me confuse that whether the results come from the tumors only with one kind of the cells mentioned above respectively or maybe some of them contain both kinds of cells. Therefore, the author is better to explain it more clearly here. 4. I am also confuse with the sentences “In our study,all of the four PanNETs in a patient with .....but two of four PanNETs contained ADRP-positive lipid-rich cells” in the second paragraph of the discussion part, I can not understand very well with its findings. The expression should be well organized in order to make it more easily for readers to understand. 5. In general , this paper is good and carefully-writing. But there are still a few problems with sentence structure,verb tenses and clause construction.