

BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17702

Title: Laparoscopic versus open abdominoperineal resection in the multimodality

management of rectal cancer: a case-matched study of short-term outcomes

Reviewer's code: 00042186 Reviewer's country: Italy Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-03-20 10:56

Date reviewed: 2015-04-20 15:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language	[] The same title	[] High priority for
[Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Duplicate publication	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Plagiarism	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y]No	[Y] Minor revision
	[] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y]No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting manuscript in which 106 laparoscopic APR are compared to 106 open APR. Before publishing, some explanation are requested to authors: 1) METHODS: In which way did you choose a patient for Laparoscopic or Open APR? 2)In follow up: metachronous metastasis, local recurrence and 5 cases of postoperative mortality are described. It could be important define the when they occurred after surgery.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17702

Title: Laparoscopic versus open abdominoperineal resection in the multimodality

management of rectal cancer: a case-matched study of short-term outcomes

Reviewer's code: 00042961 Reviewer's country: Belgium Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-03-20 10:56

Date reviewed: 2015-04-21 13:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language	[] The same title	[] High priority for
[] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Duplicate publication	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Plagiarism	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[] Minor revision
	[] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, The benefits of laparoscopy in digestive cancer surgery and particularly in colorectal surgery are increasingly confirmed. In case of rectal cancer, the benefit of laparoscopy is more recent. Several references are cited by the authors (this reference could be added: A randomized trial of laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer.N Engl J Med 2015 Apr 2; 372 (14):. 1324-1332). Furthermore the robotic surgery is increasingly used but the advantages over laparoscopy are not yet clearly proven. This article is well written and well documented. The number of patients is important, and the team has good surgical results. Laparoscopy offers clear advantages found in large series and in your study. But we must not forget that the oncological outcome prevails. Laparoscopy and open surgery surgery gives similar oncologic results. The surgeon must perform the procedure using the technique he mastered the best (even if open surgery is chosen).