



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18187

Title: Comparison of efficacy of moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy and hybrid therapy as first-line eradication regimen for Helicobacter pylori infection

Reviewer’s code: 00183433

Reviewer’s country: Iran

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-04-10 10:28

Date reviewed: 2015-05-06 19:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) In abstract, methods section line 2 what does MSQT stand for? It is not consistent with Moxifloxacin - based sequential therapy? 2) In abstract, conclusion section, the comparison between two sequential therapies from the stand point of effectiveness, compliance and safety and the concluding remark in not clear and needs more precise explanation. 3) In introduction, line 13 ending up to reference (5) needs to be updated. 4) In introduction, the last lines of the second paragraph need a relevant reference. 5) Table 1 is not complete without the missing p-values. 6) Discussion is too long and needs to be proportionate to the rest of manuscript. 7) In conclusion section, the comparison between two sequential therapies from the stand point of effectiveness, compliance and safety and the concluding remark in not clear and needs more precise explanation



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18187

Title: Comparison of efficacy of moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy and hybrid therapy as first-line eradication regimen for Helicobacter pylori infection

Reviewer's code: 00069406

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-04-10 10:28

Date reviewed: 2015-04-13 23:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good prospective study on the comparison of moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy and hybrid therapy. The result is obvious and significant. However, there are some minor issues to be considered, such as 1.The total sample size is 284, but the number in each group is not equal to 142. Was that the block number is designed to 4? 2. The conclusion of "The 14-day moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy is effective and, moreover, shows excellent compliance and safety compared with the 14-day hybrid therapy" in the abstract is not acceptable. Because both group showed good compliance. 3.For PP analysis, the P value of difference between the two group is 0.003 in the abstract but became 0.007 in the table 2. 4.For Figure 1, there should be some block to show the regimen of each group.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18187

Title: Comparison of efficacy of moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy and hybrid therapy as first-line eradication regimen for Helicobacter pylori infection

Reviewer's code: 00227403

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-04-10 10:28

Date reviewed: 2015-04-23 15:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

AUTHORS In the abstract should be detailed sequential and hybrid therapies.