



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16501

Title: Hybrid therapy versus sequential therapy for eradication of Helicobacter pylori in Taiwan: a prospective randomized trial

Reviewer's code: 01850720

Reviewer's country: Ireland

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-01-21 21:12

Date reviewed: 2015-01-30 23:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting paper that compares the efficacy of sequential and hybrid therapy for H. pylori infection in Taiwan. The authors should indicate in the abstract that the treatment comparisons were carried out on patients that had not been treated for H. pylori before. Also in the conclusion of the abstract and the main body of the paper, indicate that the "grade A (>95%) success rate for H. pylori eradication" was by per protocol analysis. Some spelling and grammatical errors need to be corrected.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16501

Title: Hybrid therapy versus sequential therapy for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in Taiwan: a prospective randomized trial

Reviewer's code: 02533618

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-01-21 21:12

Date reviewed: 2015-02-01 19:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors aimed to compare the efficacies of sequential and hybrid therapy and analyzed the effects of antibiotic resistance in patients with *H. pylori* infection in Taiwan. Following points should be revised: 1) Writing errors should be checked. 2) The doses of the drugs that were used should be indicated. 3) The discussion is the repetition of the results. The authors should discuss the mechanism of the action of the drugs, the possible factors that cause the resistance to therapy and express their opinions about why they found different results from the previous studies related to the success of their therapy regimes.