

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16501

**Title:** Hybrid therapy versus sequential therapy for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in Taiwan: a prospective randomized trial

**Reviewer's code:** 01850720

**Reviewer's country:** Ireland

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-21 21:12

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-30 23:42

| CLASSIFICATION                                    | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION   |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing                 | Google Search:                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor            |   | BPG Search:                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |  |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |  |
|   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         |  |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting paper that compares the efficacy of sequential and hybrid therapy for *H. pylori* infection in Taiwan. The authors should indicate in the abstract that the treatment comparisons were carried out on patients that had not been treated for *H. pylori* before. Also in the conclusion of the abstract and the main body of the paper, indicate that the "grade A (>95%) success rate for *H. pylori* eradication" was by per protocol analysis. Some spelling and grammatical errors need to be corrected.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16501

**Title:** Hybrid therapy versus sequential therapy for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in Taiwan: a prospective randomized trial

**Reviewer's code:** 02533618

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-21 21:12

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-01 19:21

| CLASSIFICATION                                    | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION   |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing                 | Google Search:                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor            |   | BPG Search:                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |  |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |  |
|   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         |  |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors aimed to compare the efficacies of sequential and hybrid therapy and analyzed the effects of antibiotic resistance in patients with *H. pylori* infection in Taiwan. Following points should be revised: 1) Writing errors should be checked. 2) The doses of the drugs that were used should be indicated. 3) The discussion is the repetition of the results. The authors should discuss the mechanism of the action of the drugs, the possible factors that cause the resistance to therapy and express their opinions about why they found different results from the previous studies related to the success of their therapy regimes.