

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18688

Title: The challenge of deciphering the gastric cancer heterogeneity

Reviewer's code: 00224612

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-04-28 09:53

Date reviewed: 2015-07-20 21:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript by Hudler is a very comprehensive review regarding the molecular landscape of gastric cancer with special emphasis on its heterogeneity. This well written review is up-to-date; the relevant papers are mentioned and discussed. The conclusions are justified and the outlook addresses all the important aspects.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18688

Title: The challenge of deciphering the gastric cancer heterogeneity

Reviewer's code: 03270459

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-04-28 09:53

Date reviewed: 2015-05-05 14:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is my pleasure to review this article titled "The challenge of deciphering the gastric cancer heterogeneity". And I appreciate the effort you devoting. As the author mentioned that this article summarizes the evidence of heterogeneous gastric cancer molecular changes. And though previous researches have explored numerous common DNA, RNA or protein aberrations in predicting the incidence and development of gastric cancer, the question, how to establish biomarkers depicting these common traits remains unanswered regarding gastric cancer. Clinical investigation may therefore warranted for the identification of specific biomarkers. The topic is interesting and well performed that deserve public attention. But you may want to delete some less important keywords.