

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19346

Title: Expanding the View of a Standard Colonoscope with the Third Eye® Panoramic™ Cap

Reviewer's code: 02441325

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-05-11 08:51

Date reviewed: 2015-05-27 22:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors describe the use of a new generation of third eye endoscope. The scope, however, only increases the view to more than 300 degrees, but can't look behind the folds and flexures as its original design. It works more like a fuse scope. It's impact on ADR remains to be evaluated. Introduction 1. 1st paragraph, "interval cancer, defined aswithin a few years", please be specific about how many years Results: 1. The 3rd paragraph, "The TEP enabled enhanced...." The sentence is not supported by any data. 2. The 2nd last paragraph, "... the Endogaor Irrigation pump), how does it work? Please be more clear.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19346

Title: Expanding the View of a Standard Colonoscope with the Third Eye® Panoramic™ Cap

Reviewer's code: 00028580

Reviewer's country: Lebanon

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-05-11 08:51

Date reviewed: 2015-05-27 22:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting paper describing the use of a panoramic cap allowing for wide view examination of the colon. The paper is well written and provides for proof of concept of this device. Few questions to address: 1. Ease of use, risk of damage to the endoscope shaft from the clipped device, and the device life span with repeated use and repeat cleaning and processing 2. Risk of dislocation 3. Limitation of retroflexion in the right colon? 4. Need proper design studies to prove the improved adenoma detection rate 5. Eye and brain fatigue from looking at 3 distinct views 6. Inability to use with cap assisted colonoscopy

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19346

Title: Expanding the View of a Standard Colonoscope with the Third Eye® Panoramic™ Cap

Reviewer's code: 02977121

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-05-11 08:51

Date reviewed: 2015-05-25 11:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a prospective observational feasibility study of the new Third Eye? Panoramic? cap clipped on to the distal tip of a slim colonoscope. This reviewer would like to point out three concerns in this article. Comment) 1. Authors should mention polyp detection rate. 2. How many endoscopists participated in the study? 3. Authors should comment about limitation of the study.