



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 17826

**Title:** Hilar cholangiocarcinoma with intratumoral calcification: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03261792

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-27 15:51

**Date reviewed:** 2015-04-15 23:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The term "ring-enhancement" in the Figre 2B legend is not appropriate. Usually extra hepatic duct wall is not delineated in a ring shape by coronal CT scan. Please revice in other term. 2. Where the calcified lesion is located in biliary tree is unclear in Fig 2B CT image. You should select other image such as we can understand the location of calcilied lesion in biliary tree. 3. You should describe more detail findings of ERC. I think ERC showed biliary disruption rather than stenosis. Was there a defect image which biliary stone was suspected?