

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18594

Title: Posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders following liver transplantation: where are we now?

Reviewer's code: 03309557

Reviewer's country: Switzerland

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-24 20:26

Date reviewed: 2015-04-28 21:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review, the authors give an overview over the state of research with regard to PTLN following liver transplantation. The review covers topics such as incidence, risk factors, treatment and prognosis in a well-balanced fashion and with sufficient depth. The manuscript is well written in an elaborate language, yet easy to understand. There are some minor points the authors could address to improve the manuscript: A) Content: 1) In paragraph 1. EBV mismatch, the authors should explain to the non-expert reader in a few words, why reception of an EBV+ organ by an EBV-recipient is a risk (lack of EBV-specific T cells/immunity). B) Form: 1) There is often a 2-letter space between two words – this should be corrected 2) The abbreviation RIS is not introduced in the text (although the full word is used a few lines above the first appearance of RIS). 3) Similarly there is no formal introduction of the abbreviations CR and SOT. 4) Typo at the end (third last line: “As soon as de diagnosis...” 5) There is a problem with Ref. 60 (a Box is displayed).