

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18495

**Title:** NON-INVASIVE ASSESSMENT OF LIVER FIBROSIS IN PATIENTS WITH ALCOHOLIC LIVER DISEASE (ALD)

**Reviewer's code:** 03317257

**Reviewer's country:** Morocco

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-23 10:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-05-09 23:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

IT IS VERY INTERESTING PAPER ; please keep attention for language . please dont forget key words . YOU HAVE TO GIVE MORE DETAILS ABOUT cost-effectiveness analyses of non-invasive fibrosis tests in ALD

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18495

**Title:** NON-INVASIVE ASSESSMENT OF LIVER FIBROSIS IN PATIENTS WITH ALCOHOLIC LIVER DISEASE (ALD)

**Reviewer's code:** 02822816

**Reviewer's country:** Romania

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-23 10:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-04-29 01:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To the authors, Well-written and organized review, which could be accepted for publication after few correctons easy to make: 1. Few spelling errors (see the title!) 2. Key words and core -tip are lacking: please, write them! 3. Please, read carefully the Format for references. Take care at style for journal references .