

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11523

Title: High expression of ER1 combined with VEGF-A predicts a poor prognosis in gallbladder carcinoma

Reviewer code: 00227509

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-05-26 17:58

Date reviewed: 2014-05-27 18:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, Zhang and the colleagues reported that ER1 combined with VEGF-A assessed by immunohistochemistry was potential prognostic marker for GBC patients after surgery. Their findings were useful for the postoperative clinical treatment of GBC patients. However, there are some concerns remain as followings. Major Comments 1. In this study, the authors assessed the histological specimens of GBC patients using immunohistochemistry of ER1 and VEGF-A according to the previous literatures (Page 4). However, there were inadequate informations about the assessment. The authors should demonstrate the typical histology of each histological scores used in this study. 2. How about the correlation between the histological scores of ER1 and VEGF-A? The authors should demonstrate the correlation using new table. 3. How about the relationship between histological findings (ER1 and VEGF-A expression) and GBC recurrence? Please clearly define the primary/secondary outcomes in this study. Minor Comments 1. There were some typos in this manuscript (e.g. $p < 0.05$ (P.2), unfavourable (P.3), P-value (Table 3), P value (Table 4)). 2. "Kenji" should be changed to "Sumi" (P.3). 3. What is "SP" (P.4). The authors should add abbreviation. 4. In Table 3, "Survival time" needs its unit (month?). 5. The font used in this manuscript should be unified (SimSun font should be changed to English font (e.g. Time New Roman)).