



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18607

Title: The usefulness of Capsule Enteroscopy in the management of patients with Crohn's Disease: a tertiary care center experience

Reviewer's code: 01438558

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-26 16:53

Date reviewed: 2015-05-13 00:43

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | BPG Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have reported the usefulness of capsule endoscopy for the management of the therapeutic regimen in patients with CD. This manuscript is well-written, however, some comments are needed about the contents.

1. In this manuscript, CE is used as a modality to change the medication for CD patients. The policy to change the therapeutic regimen using CE findings is not clear. The authors should describe the basic stance of changing therapeutic regimen in the Method section. Was that Lewis score, CDAI, or other clinical manifestations?
2. Was the changed therapeutic regimen according to the CE findings useful to extend the remission stage? The authors should mention this point in detail.
3. Was Lewis score related to the CDAI score for CD patients in this study? A few comments about this point is necessary.
4. Were there any complications (including retention) in the use of patency capsule prior to CE?