

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20363

Title: Surgical therapy for hepatolithiasis: a single-center eastern experience

Reviewer's code: 00057286

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-06-03 15:08

Date reviewed: 2015-06-07 10:58

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript addresses the outcome of OPSCHS for hepatolithiasis. This paper is interesting, but a substantial revision is needed to make this manuscript suitable for publication. 1. The manuscript has a number of issues with its usage of the English language. At present it is quite hard to read, the typographical and grammatical issues should be addressed. 2. The manuscript title is misleading. I rather think the authors are referring to hepatolithiasis patients treated by Oddi sphincter persevered cholangioplasty with hepatico-subcutaneous stoma. Moreover, this is not a controlled study. Thus, I suggest changing the manuscript title to "Oddi sphincter persevered cholangioplasty with hepatico-subcutaneous stoma for hepatolithiasis: a single-center eastern experience". 3. Abstract is redundant please shorten it. 4. Please clarify the limitation of the study. 5. The materials of the patients from this retrospective study were not in very high quality, more like a working summary, which influenced the quality of whole article.