

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16940

**Title:** EUS-guided drainage of the pancreatic duct after unsuccessful EUS-endoscopic retrograde pancreatography in patients with symptomatic obstruction and enlargement of the pancreatic duct

**Reviewer's code:** 03017544

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-02-06 10:01

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-06 05:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments for WJG manuscript n° 16940, Number ID: 03017544 The manuscript entitled "Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided drainage of the pancreatic duct (EUPD) in symptomatic obstruction and enlargement of the pancreatic duct and unsuccessful ERP indication, technical approach and therapeutic results of a unicenter long-term study" by U. Will, A. Reichel, F. Fuedner and F. Meyer is showing that EUPD can be considered a safe and feasible procedure for a selected group of patients and an alternative treatment of surgical intervention. Despite their results might be interesting there are some points which need clarification. Major points In abstract section: 1. Paragraph is really long. Consult the instructions for author 2. Results are very detailed 3. Are required key-words, no key-definitions In the text: 1. Is not clear perioperative therapy. Only antibiotic? Clarify it 2. Tables 2 and 3 not summarize clearly the results paragraph. Improves the tables 3. It would be appropriate to add a table with the clinic-pathological features of patients selected for this study. Alternatively improves



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

table 1 Minor points

1. Methods and Discussion are not be read fluently. Improves it

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16940

**Title:** EUS-guided drainage of the pancreatic duct after unsuccessful EUS-endoscopic retrograde pancreatography in patients with symptomatic obstruction and enlargement of the pancreatic duct

**Reviewer's code:** 03257868

**Reviewer's country:** Romania

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-02-06 10:01

**Date reviewed:** 2015-04-06 23:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This MS. stresses that Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided transmural pancreaticography / drainage of the pancreatic duct is a safe method able to be used for symptomatic therapy in chronic pancreatitis. To sustain this concept 94 patients with intervention over a large period of time 12 years were enrolled. 1. However, comparing this report to previous ones including those published by the same authors it is difficult to assess the originality of the data presented in this MS. I would suggest that a better stress towards the novelty of this new data should be more clearly stated. 2. After a clear statement of the novelty of this data and a more accurate layout of the MS I recommend this work for publication in EPS