

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18292

Title: A Novel Endoscopic Over-the-scope Clip System (with videos)

Reviewer's code: 02529456

Reviewer's country: Hungary

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-16 17:22

Date reviewed: 2015-04-16 18:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study reports an initial evidence with the new OTS Padlock Clip system. Nicely documented, well-written. Comments; 1. Please add a conclusion paragraph after the discussion and also a practical recommendation on situations the authors recommend the use of this system

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18292

Title: A Novel Endoscopic Over-the-scope Clip System (with videos)

Reviewer's code: 00058687

Reviewer's country: Czech Repoublic

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-16 17:22

Date reviewed: 2015-06-01 15:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I did not find Video 2 Video 1 is of unusual shape (oval). Please add a Picture of OVESCO delivery system, tissue grasper and anchor for imagination. Absence of grasper and anchor is disadvantage of the system (suction is possible in both systems), discuss it, please. Especially in rigid tissue (some fistulas). What is the price? Please, compare. In patient no. 3 - in which side of duodenal bulb was the Padlock applied? Did you perform on a CT scan? Was it near the pankreas? Mild pancreatitis - did you mean oedematous? Recommend for publication after minor changes.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18292

Title: A Novel Endoscopic Over-the-scope Clip System (with videos)

Reviewer's code: 00050424

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-04-16 17:22

Date reviewed: 2015-06-14 01:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a new device for the treatment of difficult gastrointestinal bleedings and fistulas. My comments : 1. Another detailed video is important to show the mechanical details of the clip and the mechanism of deployment outside the patient. 2. What about the late consequences of this type of clip; Especially in patients where the clip incorporated in the wall as in the first patient. 3. Was the recurrent fistula in the fourth patient associated with the clip retention; 3. Does the external placement of the catheter reduce the flexibility of the endoscope;