

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11944

Title: Intragastric Band erosion: Experiences with Gastrointestinal Endoscopic removal

Reviewer code: 02519158

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-06-13 16:19

Date reviewed: 2014-06-16 17:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting clinical study, which may be interesting for bariatrists and gastroenterologists who in their practice meet such practical problems as described in this article. I suggest only to supplement bibliography with recent literature of topic such as: 1. Brethauer et al., Systematic review on reoperative bariatric surgery: American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Revision Task Force. Surgery for Obesity and Related Diseases, 2014 in press (available on-line) 2. Suppiah et al. Caution in using a unique technique of banded revision gastric bypass: Successful endoscopic and surgical management of a rare complication. International Journal of Surgery Case Reports, 2013; 4: 554-557 After this correction, I will recommend this article in World Journal of Gastroenterology.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11944

Title: Intragastric Band erosion: Experiences with Gastrointestinal Endoscopic removal

Reviewer code: 01437824

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-06-13 16:19

Date reviewed: 2014-06-17 17:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have revised this interesting manuscript entitled "intragastric band erosion: Experiences with gastrointestinal endoscopic removal". In my opinion this article should be published, but I would like the authors explain to us why they choose this device, "metal cutting wire", to retrieval the band, and also I would they reported to us they different endoscopic techniques (pros and cons).

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11944

Title: Intragastric Band erosion: Experiences with Gastrointestinal Endoscopic removal

Reviewer code: 02953396

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-06-13 16:19

Date reviewed: 2014-06-23 02:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

only minor corrections needed