

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12719

**Title:** Comparison between Uncovered and Covered SEMS Placement in Malignant Duodenal Obstruction.

**Reviewer code:** 00034437

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-24 18:01

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-06 14:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper lacks novelty, however, data shown in this paper is clinically useful. There are several errors in words and grammar. Please revise them after native speaker checked it.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12719

**Title:** Comparison between Uncovered and Covered SEMS Placement in Malignant Duodenal Obstruction.

**Reviewer code:** 00503540

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-24 18:01

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-02 11:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a study to clarify the usefulness of the stent for duodenal obstruction by comparing between covered and uncovered stent. The limitation is this is a retrospective study, but it is valuable for mentioning the usefulness of uncovered stent in complication. Please check word and grammatical errors.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12719

**Title:** Comparison between Uncovered and Covered SEMS Placement in Malignant Duodenal Obstruction.

**Reviewer code:** 00038617

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-24 18:01

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-11 23:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study the authors examined the technical success rate, clinical success rate, complication rate, and stent patency compared between uncovered and covered stents. Their results indicated that the technical and clinical success rates did not differ between two groups. However, stent migration occurred more frequently with covered than uncovered stents. Moreover, the overall median duration of stent patency was longer in uncovered than in covered stents. According to their results, uncovered stent may be preferred when SEMS placement in patients with malignant duodenal obstruction is performed. Although this study was retrospective and the sample size was relatively small in the covered stent group, this study certainly have practical value in the treatment of malignant duodenal obstruction since a limited number of clinical studies have compared the clinical outcomes between uncovered and covered metal stents. Discussion section should be more concise.