

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12206

Title: Sorafenib for perioperative treatment of liver transplantation:a time-to-event meta-analysis

Reviewer code: 00054465

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-06-28 22:27

Date reviewed: 2014-07-01 20:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good attempt at a meta analysis to assess the efficacy of sorafenib therapy on patients with liver cancer treated by transplantation. Unfortunately only 4 articles meet inclusion criteria and none are RCTs and 3 do not provide hazard ratios but do have survival curves that the authors analyze. I am not sure that this limited number of trials with limited retrospective data meet the criteria for a meta analysis. I believe this is more a systematic review of available data. However it is interesting but not sound data that has undergone a rigorous review but this does not result in convincing results. The limited and weak data analyzed only give weak results. However it is interesting and if reported in this context would be of interest

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12206

Title: Sorafenib for perioperative treatment of liver transplantation:a time-to-event meta-analysis

Reviewer code: 00504311

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-06-28 22:27

Date reviewed: 2014-07-02 11:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you very much for requesting my review of the manuscript titled: " Sorafenib for perioperative treatment of liver transplantation:a time-to-event meta-analysis by Dr. Haolong Qi and co-Authors, This is a very interesting paper with a high number of reported patients taking sorafenib perioperational period of liver transplantation. This is a very important issue because in the literature recently some researchers have warned about whether sorafenib could improve patients' survive during perioperational period of liver transplantation, so this meta-analysis give us a clear answer, and so I think it can be published in the WJG. Thanks!

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12206

Title: Sorafenib for perioperative treatment of liver transplantation:a time-to-event meta-analysis

Reviewer code: 00054317

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-06-28 22:27

Date reviewed: 2014-07-15 01:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors do a lot of reading in reviewing 104 pieces of work to end up with only 4 publications about Sorafenib use in HCC, before and after transplant, that can be used for a meta-analysis of safety and efficacy. The concept and methodology used is appropriate but the English prose must be completely redone to make it suitable for publication.