

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14466

Title: "Digitally reinforced hematoxylin-eosin polarization" technique in diagnosis of rectal amyloidosis

Reviewer code: 00503404

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-10-06 20:20

Date reviewed: 2014-11-04 15:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An interesting paper on a niche topic. Well-documented, but English needs to be clearly improved. Manuscript should be proofread by native speaker.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14466

Title: "Digitally reinforced hematoxylin-eosin polarization" technique in diagnosis of rectal amyloidosis

Reviewer code: 00181214

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-10-06 20:20

Date reviewed: 2014-10-24 18:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The numbers presented in table 1 diverge from the text: (Total AA cases 54 instead of 60, total AL cases 9 instead of 7). Please revise. 2. How many authors performed DRHEP? Did they agree in interpretation? Of which grade of agreement? 3. Please incorporate statistical values in Table 3. 4. Discussion is well conducted, however, since authors suggest this technology as a low cost method, they could implement the discussion by a comparison of costs.