

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12563

**Title:** Endocytoscopic narrow-band imaging efficiency for inflammatory activity evaluation

**Reviewer code:** 00187937

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-15 19:37

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-31 15:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, Maeda et al. have assessed the efficacy of endocytoscopic narrow-band imaging findings to evaluate the severity of inflammation in ulcerative colitis. The study has been well designed and written. There are two issues that can be done. 1. Table 2 and 3 can be presented as a single table by giving the values of the correlation factor and p. 2. Table 4 and 5 can be presented as a single table. Regards.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12563

**Title:** Endocytoscopic narrow-band imaging efficiency for inflammatory activity evaluation

**Reviewer code:** 00034616

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-15 19:37

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-10 03:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, to increase the readability and usage of this article, I would recommend: 1.reminder tables of Mayo score and Geboes index, and 2. improve the number and quality of submitted images Also, please review the manuscript for grammatical and spelling errors. thank you for giving me the chance to review this manuscript