

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12295

Title: Resection of Multiple Rectal Carcinoids with Transanal Endoscopic Microsurgery (TEM): One Case Report

Reviewer code: 02533534

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-07-01 13:47

Date reviewed: 2014-07-04 21:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The TEM(transanal endoscopic microsurgery)technique for rectal carcinoids has been reported by many researchers, thus the novelty of this study is questioned. The only highlight of this manuscripts is the multiple of their case. The language is fair. 1.What is the advantage of TEM over other endoscopic techniques such as ESD or EMR-C in treatment of carcinoids smaller than 1cm considering the location, number, size of carcinoids? This should be emphasized. 2.The author said they kept free margins of 1 cm when resecting tumors, what is the evidence of the safe margin of 1 CM? 3.What is the difference between multiple rectal carcinoids and solitary carcinoid?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12295

Title: Resection of Multiple Rectal Carcinoids with Transanal Endoscopic Microsurgery (TEM): One Case Report

Reviewer code: 00069571

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-07-01 13:47

Date reviewed: 2014-07-05 17:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice fairly presented case report of an unusual presentation of rectal carcinoid. The paper is well written and structured. Some minor language polishing are necessary.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12295

Title: Resection of Multiple Rectal Carcinoids with Transanal Endoscopic Microsurgery (TEM): One Case Report

Reviewer code: 02667055

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-07-01 13:47

Date reviewed: 2014-07-11 16:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report is interesting and well presented. I only have few comments/requests: - minor language revision to be done - the Authors mention the role of TRUS in the discussion as follow: "we routinely perform the transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) to assess the depth of tumor invasion and preclude lymph node metastasis" This sentence is misleading as TRUS is not the method of choice to exclude lymph nodes involvement. This should be clarified. - how was a safe margin of 1 cm guaranteed?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12295

Title: Resection of Multiple Rectal Carcinoids with Transanal Endoscopic Microsurgery (TEM): One Case Report

Reviewer code: 02542095

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-07-01 13:47

Date reviewed: 2014-07-14 14:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with great interest the case report entitled "Resection of Multiple Rectal Carcinoids with Transanal Endoscopic Microsurgery". I think this topic will be of great interest to "World Journal of Gastroenterology" readers. Some comments have to be made. 1. Some cases with multiple rectal carcinoid tumors (neuroendocrine tumors) were reported previously. The authors should also focus the manuscript on multiple rectal carcinoid tumors and summarize the previous reports. Summarizing them in a table is beneficial for understanding them.