

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12955

Title: Optimal initiation of eradication therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* in patients hospitalized with peptic ulcer bleeding

Reviewer code: 00382448

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-07-31 12:49

Date reviewed: 2014-08-02 02:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript presents a topic of interest in clinical practice, not often considered in literature. Methods and study population are adequate, and conclusions are reasonable and of possible practical use. My only remark concerns English, which would need some revision, particularly for which concerns conjugation of verbs.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12955

Title: Optimal initiation of eradication therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* in patients hospitalized with peptic ulcer bleeding

Reviewer code: 00034993

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-07-31 12:49

Date reviewed: 2014-08-03 08:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General: In this study, the authors investigated to evaluate when *H pylori* eradication therapy should be started in the patients with peptic ulcer bleeding. The patients discharged before confirmation of *H pylori* infection were lost to follow-up at 13.3% and the patients before confirmation of *H pylori* infection did not receive eradication therapy at 41.4%. Authors concluded that because many patients who were discharged before confirming *H pylori* lost an opportunity for eradication, confirmation of *H pylori* infection before discharge in the patients with peptic ulcer bleeding is required to prevent recurrence of peptic ulcer. This version has any problems. I believe to increase value of this article by edition according to Reviewer's suggestions and comments. Major comments: 1. Most important point of this study is whether confirmation of *H pylori* infection between before and after discharge had selection bias depended on attending physician. 2. It is better to add reasons why the patients were discharged before confirmation of *H pylori* infection in all patients. Not factors, such as duration of hospitalization and stage ulcer. In general, attending physician decide to check *H pylori* infection. 3. Please show eradication regimen.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12955

Title: Optimal initiation of eradication therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* in patients hospitalized with peptic ulcer bleeding

Reviewer code: 02923968

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-07-31 12:49

Date reviewed: 2014-08-07 15:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Conclusion of the paper is interesting and can be accepted after minor language revisions.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12955

Title: Optimal initiation of eradication therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* in patients hospitalized with peptic ulcer bleeding

Reviewer code: 00505471

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-07-31 12:49

Date reviewed: 2014-08-09 12:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an article on an important issue which adds to the knowledge on a relatively controversial matter. However some minor corrections are to be made to improve readability. 1. The article is excessively long and can be condensed to about two thirds of its length. 2. Some of the tables are redundant and the material in them can be included in the text. (e.g. Tables 2 and 3) 3. English language editing is required to make it clearer.