

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13306

Title: The Effect of Longer Battery Life on Small Bowel Capsule Endoscopy

Reviewer code: 02953369

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-08-16 18:27

Date reviewed: 2014-09-05 05:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a retrospective study evaluating the effect of longer battery life on small bowel capsule endoscopy. Authors conclude that there was a trend toward higher completion rate with longer battery life without affecting the diagnostic yield. This is a well done study but needs some minor revisions as follows: 1. Authors should mention the completion rates with SB2 and SB2U in the abstract (88.2% Vs 93.2% respectively). 2. Mention in the conclusion (of the abstract) that longer battery life does not affect positive findings/diagnostic yield. 3. Capsule endoscopy was incomplete in 15/222 patients in SB2U group. Authors mention that in 6 out of 15 patients, the recording was disconnected between 8-9hrs. Authors should clarify why this was done even when the capsule had not reached the cecum and whether there is a possibility that the completion rate could be higher if it was not disconnected.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13306

Title: The Effect of Longer Battery Life on Small Bowel Capsule Endoscopy

Reviewer code: 00045997

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-08-16 18:27

Date reviewed: 2014-09-04 08:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this retrospective study, Ou et al. assessed quite a large number of capsule endoscopy cases to identify its completion rate in small bowel assessment. They revealed the efficacy of extending operating time for improving completion rates. This is a carefully done study and the findings are of considerable interest. Although I have no serious criticism regarding methodology and results, authors should clarify some points before acceptance which are shown below. Minor point 1. In Discussion, authors stated that 6 of 15 who underwent SB2U were disconnected between 8 h and 9 h. What does it mean? Please add some description. 2. How long life will be the best for small bowel capsule? Please describe based on authors' results.