



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21324

**Title:** Characteristics of and risk factors for colorectal neoplasms in young adults in a screening population

**Reviewer's code:** 00504445

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-08 08:31

**Date reviewed:** 2015-09-02 23:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for your invitation of reviewing the paper submitted to World Journal of Gastroenterology. In this study, the authors presented "Characteristics of and risk factors for colorectal neoplasms in young adults in a screening population". The paper is well written and the themes of this study are unique and interesting. However, there are some minor problems which should be revised.

1. Authors should describe the definition of advanced adenoma in the Methods section.
2. 6 experienced gastroenterologist performed colonoscopy in this study. How many colonoscopies have each operator performed before? Please describe their number of colonoscopy. Are there any data of adenoma detection rate about each operator?
3. Author should analyze the relationship between location of polyps and age.
4. Is SSA/P not resected among these patients? If it is resected, please show the relationship between age and SSA/P.