



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 17697

**Title:** Hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus play different prognostic roles in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a meta-analysis

**Reviewer’s code:** 03262371

**Reviewer’s country:** Iran

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-20 11:34

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-26 19:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear Editor of world journal of gastroenterology I’m very thankful for giving to me this opportunity for reviewing this paper. The authors of this paper with running a meta-analysis have evaluated the prognostic role of HBV and HCV infections in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) and finally they have reported a favorable prognosis for ICC and HBV infection in comparison with ICC and HCV infection. Some point should be taken into account including: 1- It seems that the last sentence of introduction “our result showed that...” is not related to introduction and should be put write in the discussion or conclusion part of the study. 2- In table 1 and its first column, year means year of publication of paper or year of performing the study? 3- I could not find all of the studies reported in table 1 in the reference list. So I offer to add a parenthesis in front of each study in table 1 containing the reference number of study. All of aforementioned comments should be considered in the revision of paper. After this I think that the paper could be published.