

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23082

Title: Occult gastric cancer with distant metastasis proven by random gastric biopsy

Reviewer's code: 00503563

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-10-26 19:17

Date reviewed: 2015-11-02 22:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

GENERAL COMMENTS The authors demonstrated the clinical utility of multiple random gastric biopsies for finding a primary gastric tumor site in patients with a Krukenberg tumor in this case report. Although this paper is informative and interesting, some revisions are needed. Comment 1. Scale bars for the magnification should be indicated in Figure 2a and 2b. 2. Computed tomography should be indicated as a Figure. 3. Why did the authors perform staging laparoscopy for the diagnosis of primary site and the detection of occult peritoneal metastases?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23082

Title: Occult gastric cancer with distant metastasis proven by random gastric biopsy

Reviewer's code: 01588319

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-10-26 19:17

Date reviewed: 2015-11-03 00:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor comments: 1. In page 4, the authors claimed that "Until now, there has been no case yet in which the primary origin of a Krukenberg tumor was confirmed via endoscopic random biopsies.", which is not proper. The authors should check related papers published in 2014. 2. Please give a more detailed description for random biopsy performed in this study. 3. For this case, why the authors did not test other sites such as colon?