

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24670

Title: Serum Mac-2 binding protein is a novel biomarker for chronic pancreatitis

Reviewer's code: 00069230

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-01-31 17:31

Date reviewed: 2016-02-12 08:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

"Serum Mac-2 binding protein is a novel biomarker for chronic pancreatitis" is a well written interesting manuscript. There are three concerns which require to be addressed by the authors. 1- In the Discussion, lines 257-258, "Our results indicate that increased serum Mac-2bp in subjects without liver diseases should be evaluated for subclinical CP." If detection of high serum levels of Mac-2bp is a consequence of and/or requires liver inflammation (Table 2 and Figure 3), why do the authors make emphasis on 'subjects without liver diseases'? How do the authors rule out a liver disease in this study? 2- Figure 3 (Putative mechanism of serum Mac-2bp changes in chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma) shows a decrease in liver inflammation in patients with PDAC. However, according to Table 1 (Clinical and serological characteristics of the subjects in this study), there are no significant differences for markers of liver inflammation (i.e. AST and ALT) between CP and PDAC patients. How can this be conciliated? 3- In the present manuscript the authors conclude that serum Mac-2bp may be a novel and useful biomarker for subclinical CP diagnosis, however Mac-2bp is also reported to be increased in breast cancer, lung cancer, colorectal cancer, and prostate cancer. Can the authors further expand on the analytical performance validation process for Mac-2bp



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

by looking into its sensitivity, specificity, robustness, accuracy and reproducibility?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24670

Title: Serum Mac-2 binding protein is a novel biomarker for chronic pancreatitis

Reviewer's code: 02445658

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-01-31 17:31

Date reviewed: 2016-02-12 12:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Definition of Subclinical CP needs to mentioned Your subjects are divided into HV, CP and PDAC , but your conclusions suggest using this in subclinical CP . Is there a distinction in the subclinical and CP group ? How do you identify and define this should be clear in Methods and study design You should try to give more nformation in Subgroups who had CP and PDAC , whether how many had co-existing NASH using Mac2bp levels . Also how did you define HV ? is it by absence of CP /NASH or both

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24670

Title: Serum Mac-2 binding protein is a novel biomarker for chronic pancreatitis

Reviewer's code: 03479389

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-01-31 17:31

Date reviewed: 2016-02-24 23:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article described the usefulness of the measurement of serum Mac-2bp for subclinical CP diagnosis. My comment is as follows. 1. There is no mention of the study period. 2. Please describe a sample size to be required for this study. 3. The etiology of CP patients in this study is unknown. How many alcohol CP patients are there? 4. You reported the association between Mac-2bp level and NASH patients. Which of alcohol or over-nutrition is the Mac-2bp level of CP patients associated with? 5. Does the Mac-2bp level have a difference between asymptomatic CP patients and symptomatic CP patients? 6. Do PDAC patients in this study include patients derived from CP? If included, does the Mac-2bp level have a difference between PDAC patients derived from CP and other PDAC patients?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24670

Title: Serum Mac-2 binding protein is a novel biomarker for chronic pancreatitis

Reviewer's code: 01804189

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-01-31 17:31

Date reviewed: 2016-02-29 00:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Mac-2bp is produced in liver due to hepatic inflammation of varied etiologies and is not specifically produced in pancreas. 2. In view of wide prevalence of hepatic steatosis and steatohepatitis, it is unlikely to find a patient only with chronic pancreatitis and absolutely normal liver. 3. Alcohol being the most common etiology of chronic pancreatitis, it is highly unlikely that the liver is normal in such patients. 4. There is no attempt in the study to exclude any form of liver disease in patients with chronic pancreatitis. 5. Most patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma have underlying chronic pancreatitis, so discrimination based on Mac-2bp is difficult. 6. At this stage it is difficult to propose Mac-2bp as a test for screening of chronic pancreatitis because of high incidence of liver disorders in such patients.