

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 24286

**Title:** Multiorgan Chronic Inflammatory Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Murine Model Deficient in TNF Receptors (TNFR1/R2)

**Reviewer's code:** 01555260

**Reviewer's country:** Iran

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-01-18 14:42

**Date reviewed:** 2016-01-25 18:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is a very interesting one, and is performed very well. I recommend publicationn of the study

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 24286

**Title:** Multiorgan Chronic Inflammatory Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Murine Model Deficient in TNF Receptors (TNFR1/R2)

**Reviewer's code:** 03262278

**Reviewer's country:** Poland

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-01-18 14:42

**Date reviewed:** 2016-01-31 08:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting paper. The Authors provided that TNFR1/R2 deficient mice treated with DBTS reveal the severe chronic injury of various internal organs which was proved with usage of histological methods. In addition the mechanical sensibility in of animals was performed. However, the objective of the study contains various issues and it should be clarified. Comments: 1. Why the Authors did not compare the results with wild-type animals? The results of the current study did not confirm the same effect of orally administrated DBTS in wild-type animals. 2. The Authors provided that "no major (it means "statistically significant") differences in body weight or behavioral analysis were detected between sham-treated and na?ve control animals". However, They did not mention about histopathological changes between naive and sham animals. Please explain this issue. 3. The analysis sensibility with von Frey filaments is rather subjective method in animals and the Authors should be more carefully draw the conclusions from it.