

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26012

**Title:** Current Status of Intra-gastric Balloon for Obesity Treatment

**Reviewer's code:** 03476107

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-28 14:49

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-29 23:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is well written. If there is additional discussion on the comparison of efficacy of this balloon treatment with other methods, the advantage and/or limit of this treatment can be easily approached to all readers. Spelling error was also found.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26012

**Title:** Current Status of Intra-gastric Balloon for Obesity Treatment

**Reviewer's code:** 03026651

**Reviewer's country:** Lebanon

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-28 14:49

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-29 00:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for this interesting review. However there are few grammatical errors to be fixed

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26012

**Title:** Current Status of Intra gastric Balloon for Obesity Treatment

**Reviewer's code:** 00071703

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-28 14:49

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-02 22:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[ Y ] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review, the authors have discussed the type, efficacy, safety, and future directions of intragastric balloon treatment. They have reviewed a lot of studies and concluded that it offers a minimally invasive and effective method that fills a gap in the management of obesity and associated conditions. I think this study is a good review. However there is some paucity of knowledge about the relationship between initial weight loss and maintaining weight loss. The intragastric balloon permits an early feeling of satiety. The mechanical intragastric distention to a meaningful volume during mealtime significantly decreases the amount of food intake. Although intragastric balloon has been used as an artificial bezoar, to induce satiety by decreasing the capacity of the gastric reservoir, the main part of weight loss with the BIB has occurred in the first few months. This effect is probably associated with the gastric adaptation to the balloon. There is an important study demonstrating the relationship between initial percentage of BWL and maintaining weight loss (Five percent weight lost in the first month of intragastric balloon treatment may be a predictor for long-term weight maintenance, *Obes Surg.* 2013 Jul;23(7):892-6. doi: 10.1007/s11695-013-0876-4). I think this study should be highlighted in the article.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26012

**Title:** Current Status of Intra-gastric Balloon for Obesity Treatment

**Reviewer's code:** 02726552

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-28 14:49

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-03 18:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is a technical presentation of intra-gastric balloon gastric procedures. However, the precise effects of each of the devices presented are not presented in detail, neither systematized. The table with ghrelin and leptin values should present the actual values +/- SD and not the direction of change (decreased/increased). The manuscript needs a better focus on often used devices, with their pro and cons based on previous studies, in a meta-analysis approach.