

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 25942

**Title:** Laparoscopic and Robot-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: has the time come to consider it a standard procedure?

**Reviewer's code:** 00044326

**Reviewer's country:** Egypt

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-26 22:43

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-29 01:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Laparoscopic and Robot-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: has the time come to consider it a standard procedure? Radical gastrectomy with an adequate lymphadenectomy is the main procedure leading to a chance to cure patients with resectable gastric cancer. Laparoscopic gastrectomy has become standard therapy for early-stage gastric cancer, but the use of LG is still controversial for the treatment of more advanced tumors, principally due to its oncological adequacy and capability to carry out an adequately extended lymphadenectomy. Robot-assisted gastric resection with D2 lymph node dissection has been demonstrated to be safe and feasible in prospective and retrospective studies. VERY NICE PAPER, WELL WRITTEN

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 25942

**Title:** Laparoscopic and Robot-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: has the time come to consider it a standard procedure?

**Reviewer's code:** 02546253

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-26 22:43

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-09 02:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review is the paper in which the author detailedly analyzes and expounds a lot of previous reviews and papers, and also introduces the usability of Robotic surgery for gastric cancer. This paper shows in detail the merits and demerits of each procedure, open, laparoscopic and robotic surgery, of gastrectomy for gastric cancer in respect of various aspects, such as stage of cancer and partial or total gastrectomy, with referring various reports. However, this paper is too long and there are several redundant descriptions. The author had better make it as brief as possible so that the author's arguments would become easier to understand. Totally, this paper, "Laparoscopic and Robot-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: has the time come to consider it a standard procedure?", is nice, very interesting and worth publishing in this journal. I wish the author check the mistakes of spelling and the grammar again.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 25942

**Title:** Laparoscopic and Robot-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: has the time come to consider it a standard procedure?

**Reviewer's code:** 03081445

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-26 22:43

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-10 20:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minimally invasive surgery has become an accepted alternative modality for the treatment of early stage gastric cancer. However, criticism arose towards the spread of the use of laparoscopic tools in the treatment of patients with advanced gastric cancer, principally due to its poor adaptability to complex maneuvers. In recent years, robotic surgery techniques have been demonstrated to make certain laparoscopic procedures easier and safer, due to some advantages of robot, such as 3-D vision and excellent flexibility of the maneuver arms. The authors reviewed literatures on two different laparoscopic technique, distal and total gastrectomy, and two oncological aspects, early and advanced gastric cancers, and discussed the potential advantage of the robotic assisted laparoscopic technique. This review contribute to the literature and I favour it's publication on the basis of revision.

1. Shorten the length of the text to make it more succinct. The manuscript is too long and should be shortened dramatically to avoid redundant description. 2. Polish the English. The authors need to read the manuscript thoroughly and carefully to correct some mis-typings, such as: 1) In the second paragraph of the abstract, "litterature" should be "literature". 2) In the first paragraph, "fundamental"



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

should be “fundamental”.