

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 25029

**Title:** Trends and predictions for gastric cancer mortality in Brazil

**Reviewer's code:** 01438487

**Reviewer's country:** South Korea

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-03 10:49

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-12 12:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I appreciate the opportunity to review this observational study of trends and predictions for gastric cancer mortality in Brazil. It has provided a good summed up the regional differences in mortality rates for gastric cancer in Brazil. I read also this opinion at the 2014. But I request that the manuscript needs to change for grammatical error.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 25029

**Title:** Trends and predictions for gastric cancer mortality in Brazil

**Reviewer's code:** 02478683

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-03 10:49

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-20 21:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I have read with huge interest your article entitled "Trends and prediction for gastric cancer mortality in Brazil". The manuscript contains a very exhaustive analysis regarding the mortality rates for gastric cancer in Brazil

**General Comments:**

1. The importance of the research is clear: Gastric cancer is a concerning cause of mortality worldwide. Nevertheless, the influence of economic and social development on its incidence and prognosis must be exhaustively elucidated. In this regard, this study offers a very interesting approach to the topic.
2. The novelty of the research is sound: The impact of socioeconomic inequalities is especially significant in terms of survival rates.
3. Presentation and readability of the manuscript is simple and correct.
4. Ethics of the research: No needed.

**Specific Comments:**

**Title:** It is suitable for the contents of the study.

**Abstract:** It summarizes clearly the purpose of this review.

**Material and methods:** The assessment of all the studies, their similarities and differences, the statistical analysis of every item and the calculation of trends for the future have been correctly explained.

**Discussion:** The results have been properly described. The discussion is very valuable and shows clear arguments.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 25029

**Title:** Trends and predictions for gastric cancer mortality in Brazil

**Reviewer's code:** 03551383

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-03-03 10:49

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-21 17:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study the authors report trends in the incidence and mortality of gastric cancer over the last decades and make a prediction on the mortality in the upcoming years. All in all the study is well conducted and has interesting results but I have several minor remarks and questions. Is there any data on availability of surgical or oncological therapy in the different regions? You mention that especially the north of Brasil is underdeveloped and access to medical treatment is limited. Do you have any data underlining this point (e.g. number of hospitals, surgeons per inhabitants, number of surgical procedures)? In your whole manuscript there is no comment on changes in gastric cancer treatment? Do have any data regarding neoadjuvant/adjuvant chemotherapy? These developments led to significantly increased survival rates in the past and changed the prognosis of gastric cancer. It would be helpful for the understanding of the death rates to know how big this influence is. You already mentioned a big limitation in the discussion section. The difference of cardia and non-cardia gastric cancer is crucial and should be discussed extensively. You should emphasize how adenocarcinomas of the gastric-esophageal junction (AEG) were classified to distinguish between gastric and esophageal cancer. There are several grammatical errors (e.g. H. Pilory, populationl),



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

you therefore should check this manuscript again. If these revisions are made, I would suggest accepting this manuscript for publication.