

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26208

Title: Progression of systemic therapy study of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 03538721

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 17:01

Date reviewed: 2016-04-12 07:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, Overall recommendation: Good for publish Final comments: The authors review latest systemic chemotherapy for advanced HCC patients. Most hepatologists really expect new therapy for advanced HCC. I recommend this paper for publish. Sincerely.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26208

Title: Progression of systemic therapy study of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 00070056

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 17:01

Date reviewed: 2016-04-17 21:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your work is of great significance. It is very comprehensive and clear. I believe that readers will know the development of the HCC treatment much better. However, the subtitle of your manuscript could be further improved. In the parts of systematic chemotherapy, it may be better to arrange your manuscript by the different chemotherapy regime.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26208

Title: Progression of systemic therapy study of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 00182548

Reviewer's country: Romania

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 17:01

Date reviewed: 2016-04-19 02:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is very interesting and useful. Sorafenib is also used in the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia patients carrying internal tandem duplication Yof fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3-ITD) mutation. After prolonged treatment with sorafenib, cancer cells can become resistant to it. But adding an inhibitor of the Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger can restore the response to sorafenib. (Mihail? RG. A minireview on NHE1 inhibitors. A rediscovered hope in oncohematology. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub 2015 Dec; 159(4): 519-526.) Unfortunately, there are many grammatical errors that must be corrected. A number written at the beginning of a sentence must be written in letters (not numbers). The references are not written quite correctly: each row should be checked (the spaces between words, the volume number of magazine in bold, and so on). The exposure should be better systematized. In my opinion, this review should be published after a few corrections.