



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24697

Title: Expert consensue on the cytoreductive surgery (CRS) and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) to treat peritoneal surface malignances

Reviewer's code: 03292914

Reviewer's country: 0

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-02-02 13:01

Date reviewed: 2016-02-11 04:18

Table with 4 columns: CLASSIFICATION, LANGUAGE EVALUATION, SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT, CONCLUSION. It contains checkboxes for various review criteria like 'Grade A: Excellent', 'Duplicate publication', 'Plagiarism', etc.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- I think that authors cite too many of their own publications and too many regional papers. In my opinion they should cite more current worldwide known and fast mainly cited publications which constitute the literature canon for each HIPEC scientist. I haven't found any paper published by Paul H. Sugarbaker, Beate Rau, or other important for this topic authors and scientists. Unfortunately, it's very important for the final value of this work! For example: the authors write in the abstract section "...intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) has been developed by the pioneering oncologists, with proved efficacy and safety in selected patients....." I haven't found any information about this pioneering oncologists directly in the text. -Th title include such statement "...Expert consensue..." I do not find any specific or more detailed data for example in introduction explaining why authors consider themselves as experts in this field. Any of epidemiological, statistic and more detailed data should be listed. If authors write an expert consensus, the potential reader should know: how many CRS+HIPEC procedures are performed in those experts centers, generally how important in discussion about HIPEC method their voice is "powerfull", have they (or personally) do also



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

something (or have an specialinfluence onto development of this method? - The important information about demographic, epidemiological, and statistic data should be listed in such paper. The reader should know How many regionaly (China, than in Asia and than worldwide) such procedures are performed yearly etc. - Why authors do not listed any of chapter in which they explain open and close HIPEC method?? - In the section PREOPERATIVE EXAMINATIONS such sentence: "Medical image examinations" should be changed. - It will be very interesting if authors in such large matherial which they consider as consensuse write also an even though an short section about future of CRS+HIPEC. There are several in vivo and other novel projects and a large number of publications in which authors sugest many of interesting development ways. If this work will be published as an large review and consensus in my opinion such final section should be also included. - I sugest to correct some typo errors in the manuscript