

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24999

Title: Evaluation of Anti-migration Properties of Biliary Covered Self-expandable Metal Stents

Reviewer's code: 02903704

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-02-19 12:12

Date reviewed: 2016-03-07 17:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this in vitro study, Dr. Minaga and colleagues evaluated resistance force against migration (RFM) and its correlations with radial force (RF) and the flared structure of six commonly-used covered SEMSs. This study highlights the problem of CSEMS migration faced by clinicians and provides useful information for stent selection in future clinical practice. One concern need to be addressed: As the authors mentioned, the anti-migration properties include higher radial force (RF), low axial force and flared ends etc. In this study, the authors only assessed the RF of the 6 CSEMSs, not including the axial force. Why? Please provide explanation or discussion.