



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26139

Title: Endoscopic and non-endoscopic approaches for the management of radiation-induced rectal bleeding

Reviewer's code: 02519698

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-04 00:19

Date reviewed: 2016-04-12 09:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall an excellent review of an important topic. Some suggestions below to further strengthen this manuscript. Suggest adding additional coauthors to better edit and proofread manuscript

- 1) page 3 line 4 abstract typo: medical instead of medial
- 2) page 3 line 9 abstract type: plasma instead of plasm
- 3) page 3 abstract: delete specific reference to radical surgeries in abstract because this is exceedingly rare
- 4) page 8 prevention: perhaps "errant dose towards unwanted targets" can be expressed more artfully. Most radiation oncologists refer to "planning target volume" vs. "organs at risk"
- 5) page 8-9 prevention: there is discussion of small bowel but the focus of the review is on rectum
- 6) page 10-11: it is fair to say that amifostine is not widely used for pelvic malignancies due to toxicity resulting in marginal risk:benefit ratio.
- 7) page 12 typo: English instead of Enlish
- 8) Hyperbaric oxygen: please include new randomized trial in Lancet Oncology (Glover, Lancet Oncol epub 2015)
- 9) References: consider including the two NEJM papers on QOL after prostate treatment (Resnick, NEJM 368: 436, 2013; Sanda, NEJM 358: 1250, 2008)
- 10) References: did you include the Lancet Oncology study (Andreyev, Lancet Oncology 382: 2084, 2013)
- 11) suggest pruning some of



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

these references. 139 seems a bit high Overall, very nice and comprehensive review!



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26139

Title: Endoscopic and non-endoscopic approaches for the management of radiation-induced rectal bleeding

Reviewer's code: 00503883

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-04 00:19

Date reviewed: 2016-05-04 23:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Outstanding and comprehensive review article. There are only minor grammatical and medical terminology errors correctable with minor revision.