

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26335

Title: Weight loss endoscopy: intragastric balloons, endoscopic sleeve gastropasty, POSE, aspiration, gastric bypass revision

Reviewer's code: 03551106

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-04-04 21:45

Date reviewed: 2016-04-05 15:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Obesity is a chronic medical disease worldwide. Endoscopic bariatric therapy for obesity is more effective than diet and lifestyle measures, and less invasive than bariatric surgery. This manuscript is a well-prepared update of the most current and comprehensive of bariatric endoscopy methods in an objective manner. Nothing need to be corrected. Very nice work!

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26335

Title: Weight loss endoscopy: intragastric balloons, endoscopic sleeve gastropasty, POSE, aspiration, gastric bypass revision

Reviewer's code: 00053786

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-04-04 21:45

Date reviewed: 2016-04-08 23:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

N. Kumar presents a review related to weight loss endoscopy and endoscopic bariatric therapies. This paper provides pros and cons, technical details of each therapy and patient management. It is a useful for the gastroenterologist or bariatric surgeon. I suggest that the author include a simple table to summarize the information to explain in a comprehensive manner these details for those who are not specialists in this field.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26335

Title: Weight loss endoscopy: intragastric balloons, endoscopic sleeve gastropasty, POSE, aspiration, gastric bypass revision

Reviewer's code: 00227449

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-04-04 21:45

Date reviewed: 2016-04-14 23:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting and important review for the field of gastroenterology and obesity. The various procedures described are mostly still being developed. The list provided in the title did not include all procedures described and also does not address the preliminary nature of these procedures. Thus, I suggest the title to be changed to "Current development in the applications of weight loss endoscopy" While the extensive coverage of various procedures is necessary, a part of the goal has to be a comparison among them. It would be much more informative to categorize the procedures by their route to weight reduction. For example, space occupying, gastric restriction, aspiration, gastric bypass, etc. Obesity is a concern because the adverse consequences in health following the weight gain. Thus in reviewing the outcome of various procedures, it is extremely important to include information on the impact on health, for example, biomarkers of chronic diseases. If such information is not available for a certain procedure, it should be stated. A term was used repeatedly in the manuscript "excess weight loss". For such a term that was used throughout the manuscript, a solid definition is needed. What was the difference between excess weight loss and total weight loss? EWL



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

was defined as the abbreviation for “excess weight loss” in p. 6 but the abbreviation was not used in the rest of the manuscript. I suggest removing the abbreviation and using the full term throughout.