ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS manuscript NO: 26602
Title: MicroRNA biomarkers predicting risk, initiation and progression of colorectal cancer
Reviewer's code: 02529456
Reviewer's country: Hungary
Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma
Date sent for review: 2016-04-19 17:02
Date reviewed: 2016-04-21 16:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[ ] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A comprehensive review paper highlighting the role for mRNA biomarkers in CRCs.?   Comments;
1.please adjust reference style

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS manuscript NO: 26602
Title: MicroRNA biomarkers predicting risk, initiation and progression of colorectal cancer
Reviewer's code: 00004699
Reviewer's country: Japan
Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma
Date sent for review: 2016-04-19 17:02
Date reviewed: 2016-04-29 17:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[Y] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Lee K summarized the miRNA as biomarkers for prediction of risk and detection of colorectal cancers. This review is well written and organized, so I enjoyed it. I have two suggestions. I think that one of the important points of this review is significance of miRNA expression and dietary factors. Many scientists would like to know which/what kind of dietary factor(s) are related to miRNA expression. Because this review article showed them, it is better to summarize as a new Table. Second, almost all "Introduction" session was described using only one reference (No.2). The authors should improve introduction using more references. Moreover, it is better to add the purpose of this review and/or what this review summarizes at the end of Introduction. Two or more sentences showing it may be enough.