

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27054

Title: Sessile Serrated Adenoma/Polyps: Where are we at in 2016?

Reviewer's code: 02505493

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-05-08 19:28

Date reviewed: 2016-05-11 16:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The m/s reviews the recent literature regarding sessile serrated polyps. Their role in development of colorectal cancer and differentiation from other types of polyps are described. Some guidelines for their optimal resection are also discussed. It is a very interesting review article for specialists in endoscopy. The m/s can be accepted for publication after correction of the following: 1. Page 5, line 6: Replace "serrated" with "serrated" (see ref. 7) 2. Page 5, lines 27-30: Too long sentence. 3. Page 7, line 8: Insert a space in "polypsachieved"

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27054

Title: Sessile Serrated Adenoma/Polyps: Where are we at in 2016?

Reviewer's code: 02729987

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-05-08 19:28

Date reviewed: 2016-05-20 05:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article by Singh et al entitle "Sessile Serrated Adenoma/Polyps: Where are we at in 2016?" is a well-written and interesting paper describing current concepts involving Sessile Serrated Adenoma/Polyps, namely endoscopic detection, excision and follow-up. My only concern is that this paper is not a review. A review is a larger article, with more research and references, and the literature searching methods should be described. The title and the way that this article is written is much more as an Editorial.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27054

Title: Sessile Serrated Adenoma/Polyps: Where are we at in 2016?

Reviewer's code: 00035901

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-05-08 19:28

Date reviewed: 2016-05-29 15:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reviewed recent advances in clinical pathology of sessile serrated adenoma/polyps. The present review paper was well organized and well investigated. This paper will give us many important information especially in the field of clinical gastroenterology. I have no claim in this paper.