

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27042

Title: Cost-effectiveness of duodenal biopsies as a routine screening in iron deficiency anemia patients

Reviewer's code: 00047789

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-05-06 11:58

Date reviewed: 2016-05-20 12:37

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major comments: 1. Quality adjusted life-years (QALY) outcome seemly the major results but there are no detail how to calculate in the Method section.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27042

Title: Cost-effectiveness of duodenal biopsies as a routine screening in iron deficiency anemia patients

Reviewer's code: 02941324

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-05-06 11:58

Date reviewed: 2016-05-25 07:01

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I have read with interest your paper. It is well written, and the idea is interesting, as it shows a novel methodological approach to the management of celiac disease, with relevant saving of money. There is just one point I'd like to highlight to improve the discussion: beyond IDA, also the endoscopic appearance of duodenal villi may predict CD. Authors should at least discuss it in their discussion, mentioning briefly different tools for the evaluation of celiac disease, with proper references, including at least: - Water immersion technique in adults (please see Gasbarrini et al - Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 2003) and in children (Cammarota et al - J Pediatric Gastroenterol Nutr 2009) - Narrow Band Imaging (please see Singh et al - Endoscopy 2010) - I-scan technology (Cammarota et al - Dig Dis Sci 2013) Please also keep in mind a review article published in World J Gastroenterol in 2013 on the topic (Endoscopic tools for the diagnosis and evaluation of celiac disease- G Ianiro, A Gasbarrini, G Cammarota- World J Gastroenterol 19 (46), 8562-70) good work!